

## REMARKS

This Amendment and Response is in furtherance of the telephone discussions with the Examiner on July 30 and July 31, 2008. During the discussions, the Examiner indicated that the amendments to Claims 1 and 7 as set forth herein would distinguish over the art of record. These claims have been amended to recite the first and second curvatures. Support for the claimed first curvature is clarified by the amendment to the specification to describe the curved shape of the emitters along their radial axis as shown in Figures 2A and 2B. The claimed first curvature corresponds to the inherent curvature of any common bulb/lamp/emitter if viewed from a cross section in which the bulb/lamp/emitter has a circular cross section and therefore has a curvature along its radial axis. The claimed second curvature corresponds to the curvature of the emitters along their longitudinal axis.

Claim 29 does not claim the first curvature and only claims the curvature of the lamps along their length in the direction of a curved path of the paper. This claimed curvature in Claim 29 corresponds to the claimed second curvature in Claims 1 and 7.

Claim 1 was rejected under Section 112. Claim 1 has been amended to address the grammatical error, therefore this rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-8 and 10 were rejected under Section 102 as being anticipated by Lize. As mentioned above, it is understood that independent Claims 1 and 7 now distinguish over Lize, and therefore, this rejection should be withdrawn.

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in a condition for allowance.

The Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned if there are any other issues preventing allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,  
SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: /Brent P. Johnson/  
Brent P. Johnson  
Registration No. 38,031  
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141  
(303) 863-9700

Date: October 15, 2008